(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
[WRIT JURISDICTION]
WRIT PETITION NO. 1507 **OF 2002**

003

IN THE MATTER OF:

SAMARU Aged about 55 years
S/o Sri Tijau
Vill.& P.O. - Ahilda. Tahsil – Baloda Bazar
Distt. Raipur [Chhattisgarh] PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH
   Secretary
   Water Resources Department,
   Secretariat, Raipur {C.G.}

2. CHIEF ENGINEER
   Mahanadi Project,
   Raipur {C.G.}

P. R. No. 1570/02
Presented by Shri Ashok Kumar Sahu
dated 9.6.02

3. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
   Water Resources Division No. 2
   Baloda Bazar, Distt.-Raipur [C.G.]

4. SUBDIVISIONAL OFFICER,
   Water Management Subdivision No. 6
   Balodabazar
   Dist. Raipur, [C.G.] RESPONDENTS

To,

The Hon'ble Chief Justice and His
Companion Justices of the
Hon'ble High Court of
Chhattisgarh at Bilaspur.

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 /227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench : Satish K. Agnihotri, J

WRIT PETITION NO. 1914 of 2004

Bisahu Ram Yadav

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

WRIT PETITION NO. 756 of 2003

Sevak Ram

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

WRIT PETITION NO.2237 of 2003

Teji Lal Kurmi

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

WRIT PETITION NO.1271 of 2004

Kashiram Dheemar

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

WRIT PETITION NO.1698 of 2004

Ledga Ram Rawat

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

WRIT PETITION NO. 1709 of 2004

Panchu Ram

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

WRIT PETITION NO. 1766 of 2004

Paras Dheewar

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

WRIT PETITION NO. 2275 of 2004

Fekulal Sahu

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

WRIT PETITION NO.3612 of 2003

Parsu & Others

Vs.

State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION NO.1507 of 2002

Samaru

Vs.

State of Chhattisgarh and others

		Mr. Vinay Pandey, Mr. Sunil Ottwani and Mr. S.K. Dadsena, learned counsel for the petitioner.
Present	:	Mr. V.V.S. Murthy, & Mr. P.S. Koshy, learned Dy. Advocate Generals with Mr. Pankaj Shrivastava & Mr. Vivek Sharma, learned Panel Lawyers for the State of Chhattisgarh.

ORDER
(Passed on 15th September, 2005)

The petitioners in this batch of writ petitions are working as casual labour gangs. The date of retirement was 55 years, as per circular dated 09.03.2000. The respondent State subsequently by circular dated 16.08.2004 increased the age of retirement from 55 years to 60 years. This Court in W.P. No.1149 of 2003 has considered this dispute as to whether the casual labour gangs, who have retired on attaining the age of 55 years be given the benefit of the circular dated 09.03.2000 for the purpose of retirement on 60 years, and order dated 29.09.2004 of this Court covers squarely the dispute involved in this batch of cases.

Accordingly, the petitions are disposed of in terms of the order dated 29.09.2004 passed by this Court in W.P. No.1149 of 2003.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Brajesh